

University of Wisconsin Madison

MAD/PH/730

RAL-92-072

November 1992

Implications of $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ decay measurements in testing
the MSSM Higgs sector

V. Barger,^{*} M. S. Berger,^{*} and R. J. N. Phillips[†]

^{}Physics Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA*

[†]Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, England

ABSTRACT

The observation that the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ decay rate is close to the Standard Model value implies a large mass for the charged-Higgs boson in the Minimum Supersymmetric Standard Model, that nearly closes the $t \rightarrow bH^+$ decay channel. For $m_t = 150$ GeV, the parameter region $m_A \lesssim 130$ GeV is excluded; this largely pre-empts LEP II searches and also partially excludes a region that would be inaccessible to MSSM Higgs boson searches both at LEP II and at SSC/LHC.

In the Minimum Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM), the inclusive $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ branching fraction is very sensitive to charged Higgs boson loop contributions, since they interfere constructively with the Standard Model (SM) W -loop amplitude and both receive a strong QCD enhancement [1,2]. The present experimental upper limit $B(b \rightarrow s\gamma) < 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$ from the CLEO collaboration [3] already implies severe constraints [4] on the charged Higgs boson mass $m_{H^\pm}$ [2] for given top quark mass m_t and model parameter $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$, the ratio of the two vacuum expectation values appearing in the MSSM. In the present Letter we point out that these constraints almost close the interesting decay channel $t \rightarrow bH^+$ (the basis of all viable $H^\pm$ studies at hadron colliders [5–9]) and exclude most of the $(m_A, \tan\beta)$ parameter region accessible to LEP II Higgs boson searches. They also partially exclude a parameter region believed to be inaccessible to combined LEPI, LEP II and SSC/LHC searches [6–9]. These important constraints will become even more far-reaching when more precise theoretical calculations are made and a more accurate determination of $B(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$ becomes possible, for example at future B -factories.

For calculating QCD enhancements of the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ decay amplitudes, we use the prescription of Grinstein, Springer and Wise [10]. The relevant operator arising from the dominant tW^+ and tH^+ loop contributions at scale m_b has the form

$$c_7(m_b) = \left[\frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{\alpha_s(m_b)} \right]^{16/23} \left\{ c_7(M_W) - \frac{8}{3} c_8(M_W) \left[1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\alpha_s(M_W)} \right)^{2/23} \right] + \frac{232}{513} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\alpha_s(M_W)} \right)^{19/23} \right] \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where for the MSSM

$$c_7(M_W) = -\frac{1}{2}A(x) - B(y) - \frac{1}{6\tan^2\beta}A(y), \quad (2)$$

$$c_8(M_W) = -\frac{1}{2}D(x) - E(y) - \frac{1}{6\tan^2\beta}D(y), \quad (3)$$

with $x = (m_t/M_W)^2$, $y = (m_t/m_{H^\pm})^2$. The functions A , B , D and E are defined in Ref. [10].

The ratio of $\Gamma(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$ to the inclusive semileptonic decay width is then given by

$$\frac{\Gamma(b \rightarrow s\gamma)}{\Gamma(b \rightarrow ce\nu)} = \frac{6\alpha}{\pi\rho\lambda} |c_7(m_b)|^2, \quad (4)$$

where α is the electromagnetic coupling. The phase-space factor ρ and the QCD correction factor λ for the semileptonic process are given by $\rho = 1 - 8r^2 + 8r^6 - r^8 - 24r^4 \ln(r)$ with $r = m_c/m_b$ and $\lambda = 1 - \frac{2}{3}f(r, 0, 0)\alpha_s(m_b)/\pi$ with $f(r, 0, 0) = 2.41$ [11]. Note that the m_b^5 dependence of the partial widths cancels out in Eq. (4), and also the CKM matrix elements cancel to a good approximation. We ignore charm quark contributions ($\sim 0.1\%$ in the amplitude). We evaluate the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ branching fraction from Eq. (4) using the accurately determined semileptonic branching fraction $B(b \rightarrow ce\nu) = 0.107$ and the estimate $\alpha_s(M_W)/\alpha_s(m_b) = 0.548$ based [12] on a three-loop formula with $m_b = 4.25$ GeV.

The $B(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$ results depend sensitively on both $m_{H^\pm}$ and $\tan\beta$. The MSSM sets a lower bound $m_{H^\pm}^2 = M_W^2 + m_A^2 > M_W^2$ at tree level; with one-loop radiative corrections for $M_{SUSY} = 1$ TeV and experimental limits on $m_A > 40$ GeV this bound becomes approximately $m_{H^\pm} > 90$ GeV, well above the LEP detection limits for $H^\pm$. There are bounds $m_t/600 < \tan\beta < 600/m_b$ from requiring Yukawa couplings to remain perturbative [2] and $\tan\beta < 85$ from the proton lifetime [13]. There are also constraints from low-energy data (principally $B - \bar{B}$, $D - \bar{D}$, $K - \bar{K}$ mixing) that exclude low values of $\tan\beta$ [2,14] but these are less stringent than the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ constraint of present concern.

Figure 1 shows the dependence of $B(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$ on $\tan\beta$ for $m_t = 150$ GeV, with various choices of $m_{H^\pm}$. We see that the CLEO bound $B < 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$ not only excludes small values of $\tan\beta$ for any $m_{H^\pm}$, but also excludes a range of lower $m_{H^\pm}$ values ($m_{H^\pm} \lesssim 155$ GeV in the $m_t = 150$ GeV case shown) for any $\tan\beta$. However this lower limit on $m_{H^\pm}$ depends quite sensitively on the theoretical calculation as discussed below.

Figure 2 translates the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound into the $(m_{H^\pm}, \tan\beta)$ plane for $m_t = 150$ GeV and compares it with the bounds from perturbativity, proton decay and the MSSM $m_{H^\pm}$ formula. The bound is beyond the threshold $m_{H^\pm} = m_t - m_b$, so that the decay mode $t \rightarrow bH^+$ is closed. As m_t is reduced, the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound and the $t \rightarrow bH^+$ threshold move left toward the MSSM constraint on $m_{H^\pm}$ (that we have calculated from the one-loop mass formula [15] plus LEP limits on m_A [16]). For $m_t \lesssim 130$ GeV the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound overtakes the $t \rightarrow bH^+$ threshold and this decay mode becomes marginally open, in our present calculations. At

$m_t \simeq 95$ GeV, the threshold crosses the MSSM bound and the $t \rightarrow bH^+$ decay becomes closed once more.

Figure 3 translates the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound into the $(m_A, \tan\beta)$ plane, where coverage of the MSSM is usually discussed [6–9]. The area below and to the left of the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ curve is excluded. The boundary of the region accessible to $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh, Ah$ searches at LEP II is below and to the left of the dashed curve; we see that a large part of this LEP II range is pre-empted. Heavy shading shows the area of parameter space that appears to be inaccessible to MSSM Higgs boson searches at LEP I, LEP II and SSC/LHC (reproduced here from Ref. [9]); we see that this inaccessible region is already partially covered by the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound. With further improvements in measurements of $B(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$, the coverage of the MSSM may in fact be complete after all.

The $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound of Fig. 3 also leads to an interesting possible correlation between m_t and the lighter CP-even Higgs boson mass m_h , if we inject an additional theoretical requirement on Yukawa couplings $\lambda_b(M_G) = \lambda_\tau(M_G)$ from SUSY-GUT unification following Refs. [12,17]. Given $m_t < 175$ GeV, the authors of Ref. [12] find just two solutions for β , namely $\sin\beta = 0.78(m_t/150\text{GeV})$ and $\tan\beta > m_t/m_b$. For $m_t = 150$ GeV, the first solution gives $\tan\beta = 1.25$ for which the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound allows only the limited range $68 < m_h < 76$ GeV (assuming a squark mass scale of order 1 TeV as in Ref. [9]; this range shifts up (down) by approximately 10 GeV when the SUSY scale is increased (decreased) by a factor two); such an observed correlation of m_h with m_t would support this solution. Note that threshold corrections to the Yukawa coupling unification constraint could shift this predicted Higgs mass range somewhat. For the large- $\tan\beta$ solution, the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound does not effectively constrain m_h .

The above analysis has neglected various theoretical uncertainties. The leading-log form in Eq. (1) is obtained by truncating the anomalous dimension matrix to three operators. This leads to an uncertainty of at most 15% [10] to $c_7(m_b)$ in the Standard Model. This uncertainty should be reduced somewhat in the MSSM where the charged Higgs contribution increases the leading order result as in Eqs. (2)-(3). The next-to-leading-log corrections are

expected to be about 20% of the leading-log corrections in the decay rate. Therefore these two effects together, if additive, could lead to an overall uncertainty in the decay rate of up to 50% which we have not included in our analysis. The lower limit on the charged Higgs mass is sensitive to these uncertainties as can be seen in Figure 1. Thus if the theoretical uncertainties mentioned above could be reduced, the limits on the charged Higgs boson mass would be more reliable. The leading-log result in Eq. (1) is obtained by integrating out the top quark (and the charged Higgs boson) at the mass of the W . Recently the leading corrections have been obtained when the top quark is integrated out at a scale larger than M_W [18]. These corrections enhance the decay rate by as much as 14%. This enhancement may partially compensate for the theoretical uncertainties enumerated above.

We have neglected any other sources of FCNC in the supersymmetric model to the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ decay rate [19]. Only if extra contributions conspire to reduce the decay rate can the bounds in this paper be evaded.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. L. Hewett and T. Rizzo for discussions. This research was supported in part by the University of Wisconsin Research Committee with funds granted by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract no. DE-AC02-76ER00881, and in part by the Texas National Laboratory Research Commission under grant no. RGFY9173.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. **D38**, 820 (1988); B. Grinstein and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. **B201**, 274 (1988); R. Grigjanis, P. J. O'Donnell, M. Sutherland and H. Navelet, Phys. Lett. **B213**, 355 (1988), **B286** 413 (1992); W. -S. Hou and R. S. Willey, ibid **B202**, 591 (1988); T. D. Nguyen et al., Phys. Rev. **D37**, 3220 (1988); D. Ciuchini, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4**, 1945 (1989); J. L. Hewett et al., Phys. Rev. **D39**, 250 (1989).
- [2] V. Barger, J. L. Hewett and R. J. N. Phillips, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 3421 (1990).
- [3] CLEO collaboration: report by D. Kreinick at the Carleton *Beyond the Standard Model Conference*, Ottawa, Canada, June 1992.
- [4] J. L. Hewett and R. J. N. Phillips, Talks given at the *Top Quark Workshop on High-Energy Physics with Colliding Beams*, Madison, Wisconsin, November 1992.
- [5] See Proceedings of 1990 Snowmass Summer Study on High Energy Physics; Proceedings of Large Hadron Collider Workshop at Aachen 1990, CERN 90-10; GEM Letter of Intent, B. Barish, W. Willis et al., GEM TN-92-49; SDC Technical Design Report, SDC-92-201.
- [6] Z. Kunszt and F. Zwirner, CERN-TH.6150 (1991).
- [7] H. Baer, M. Bisset, C. Kao, and X. Tata, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 1067 (1992); H. Baer, M. Bisset, D. Dicus, C. Kao, and X. Tata, Florida State report FSU-HEP-920724 (1992).
- [8] J. F. Gunion et al., Phys. Rev. **D46**, 2040 (1992); J. F. Gunion and L. H. Orr, ibid **D46**, 2052 (1992), J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber and C. Kao, ibid **D46**, 2907 (1992); R. M. Barnett et al., UC-Davis report UCD-92-14 (1992).
- [9] V. Barger, K. Cheung, R. J. N. Phillips and A. L. Stange, UW Madison report MAD/PH/696 (1992); V. Barger, M. S. Berger, R. J. N. Phillips and A. L. Stange, Phys. Rev. **D45**, 4128 (1992).
- [10] B. Grinstein, R. Springer and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. **B339**, 269 (1989).

- [11] N. Cabibbo and L. Maiani, Phys. Lett. **B79**, 109 (1978); M. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. **B145**, 420 (1978); N. Cabibbo, G. Corbò, and L. Maiani, *ibid* **B155**, 93 (1979).
- [12] V. Barger, M. S. Berger and P. Ohmann, UW-Madison report MAD/PH/711 (1992).
- [13] J. Hisano, H. Murayama and T. Yanagida, Tohoku University report TU-400 (1992)
- [14] A. J. Buras et al., Nucl. Phys. **B337**, 284 (1990).
- [15] A. Brignole et al., Phys. Lett. **B271**, 123 (1991).
- [16] ALEPH collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B265**, 475 (1991); DELPHI collaboration, *ibid* **B245**, 276 (1990); L3 collaboration, *ibid* **B251**, 311 (1990); OPAL collaboration, Z. Phys. **C49**, 1 (1991).
- [17] S. Kelley, J. L. Lopez and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. **B274**, 387 (1992); J. Ellis, S. Kelley, D. V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. **B373**, 55 (1992); H. Arason, D. J. Castaño, B. Keszthelyi, S. Mikaelian, E. J. Piard, P. Ramond and B. D. Wright, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2933 (1991), P. Ramond, University of Florida preprint UFIFT-92-4; H. Arason, D. J. Castaño, E. J. Piard and P. Ramond, University of Florida preprint UFIFT-92-8; G. Anderson, S. Dimopoulos, L. J. Hall and S. Raby, Ohio State preprint OHSTPY-HEP-92-018 (1992).
- [18] P. Cho and B. Grinstein, Nucl. Phys. **B365**, 279 (1991).
- [19] S. Bertolini, F. Borzumati, and A. Masiero, Nucl. Phys. **B294**, 321 (1987); S. Bertolini, F. Borzumati, A. Masiero and G. Ridolfi, Nucl. Phys. **B353**, 591 (1991).

FIGURES

Fig. 1. Calculated dependence of the inclusive branching fraction $B(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$ on $\tan\beta$ in the MSSM, with various values of $m_{H^\pm}$, for $m_t = 150$ GeV. The shaded area is excluded by the CLEO bound $B(b \rightarrow s\gamma) < 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$.

Fig. 2. Comparison of the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound with other bounds from perturbativity, proton lifetime and the MSSM mass formulas, in the $(m_{H^\pm}, \tan\beta)$ plane, for $m_t = 150$ GeV. The threshold for $t \rightarrow bH^+$ decay at $m_{H^\pm} = m_t - m_b$ is also shown. Shaded areas are excluded by one or more bounds.

Fig. 3. Comparison of the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ bound in the $(m_A, \tan\beta)$ plane, with the region accessible to LEP I and LEP II searches (lightly shaded) and the region apparently inaccessible to LEP I, LEP II and SSC/LHC MSSM Higgs boson searches (heavily shaded vertical region) for $m_t = 150$ GeV.